MTH 4436 Homework set 3.1, Page 43

 ${\rm SUMMER}~2023$

Pat Rossi

Name ____

1. It has been mentioned that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 - 2$. Exhibit five such primes.

n =	$n^2 - 2 = 2$	Prime?
2	2	Yes!
3	7	Yes!
4	14	No!
5	23	Yes!
6	34	No!
7	47	Yes!
8	62	No!
9	71	Yes!

2. Give an example to show that the following conjecture is not true: Every positive integer can be written in the form $p + a^2$, where p is either prime or 1, and $a \ge 0$.

Consider n = 25, and the difference between n = 25 and all of the primes (as well as p = 1) less than 25.

p =	25 - p =	$= a^2 ???$
1	24	No!
2	23	No!
3	22	No!
5	20	No!
7	18	No!
11	14	No!
13	12	No!
17	8	No!
19	6	No!
23	2	No!

- 3. Prove each of the assertions below:
 - (a) Any prime of the form 3n + 1 is also of the form 6m + 1 **Proof.** Observe that p = 2 is NOT of the form 3n + 1. Therefore, any prime of the form 3n + 1 must be odd, and of the form 2k + 1. i.e., p = 3n + 1 = 2k + 1 $\Rightarrow 3n = 2k$ $\Rightarrow 2|3n$ \Rightarrow (by Euclid's Lemma) 2|n (i.e., n = 2m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$) $\Rightarrow p = 3n + 1 = 3(2m) + 1 = 6m + 1$ i.e., $p = 6m + 1 \blacksquare$

ALTERNATE PROOF:

Proof. Let p be a prime of the form 3n + 1. Note that either n is either even or odd.

Case 1 (n is even)

Then n = 2k, for some integer, k. $\Rightarrow p = 3n + 1 = 3(2k) + 1 = 6k + 1 = 6m + 1$ i.e., p = 6m + 1, where m = k. Case 2 (*n* is odd) This is impossible, for if *n* is odd, then n = 2k + 1, for some integer, k. $\Rightarrow p = 3n + 1 = 3(2k + 1) + 1 = 6k + 4 = 2(3k + 2)$ $\Rightarrow p$ is even $\Rightarrow p = 2$.

Since p = 2 is NOT of the form 3n + 1, this contradicts the assumption that p is of the form 3n + 1.

Hence, if p is a prime of the form 3n + 1, n must be even, and p = 6m + 1, from Case 1.

(b) Each integer of the form 3n + 2 has a prime factor of this form.

Proof. (By contradiction) Observe that the proposition holds for n = 1, 2 as 3(1) + 2 = 5, which is prime, and 3(2) + 2 = 8 which has a prime factor of the form 3(0) + 2.

Suppose, for the sake of deriving a contradiction, that the proposition is false. Let N = 3k + 2 be the smallest natural number for which the proposition fails. Since we're assuming that N = 3k + 2 has no prime factor of the form 3n + 2, N cannot be prime.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, N must have a prime divisor, p, where $p \neq N$.

By the Division Algorithm, there are three possibilities:

1. p = 3m

In this case, note that m must equal 1, or else p cannot be prime. At any rate, $p \nmid N$ (i.e., $3 \nmid (3k+2)$). Hence, $p \neq 3m$.

2. p = 3m + 2

This cannot happen, since we've assumed that N = 3k + 2 has no prime factor of the form 3n + 2.

Hence, only the third case remains:

3. p = 3m + 1

Since p is a prime factor of N = 3k + 2, \exists a natural number h such that that $N = 3k + 2 = p \cdot h$.

What form does h have?

- 1. $h \neq 3j$, because we have already observed that $3 \nmid (3k+2)$.
- 2. $h \neq 3j + 1$, otherwise, $N = 3k + 2 = p \cdot h = (3m + 1)(3j + 1) = 9mj + 3m + 3j + 1 = 3(3mj + m + j) + 1$, which is NOT of the form 3k + 2.

Hence, only the third case remains:

3. h = 3j + 2

Since h < N, this implies that h = 3j + 2 has a prime factor of the form 3n + 2. Therefore, N = 3k + 2 has a prime factor of the form 3n + 2. This contradicts our choice of k as the smallest natural number such that

our proposition fails.

Hence, each integer of the form 3n + 2 has a prime factor of this form.

Alternate Proof:

(By contradiction) Suppose, for the sake of deriving a contradiction, that the proposition is false. Let $N = 3n_1+2$ be a natural number for which the proposition fails. Since we're assuming that $N = 3n_1 + 2$ has no prime factor of the form 3n + 2, N cannot be prime.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, $N = 3n_1 + 2$ must have two factors $p_1, q_1 > 1$.

Observe that they must be of the form:

 $p_1 = 3n_2 + 2$

 $q_1 = 3k_2 + 1$

By our "contradiction hypothesis," $p_1 = 3n_2 + 2$ is not prime. Hence, by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, $p_1 = 3n_2 + 2$ must have two factors $p_2, q_2 > 1$.

Observe that they must be of the form:

$$p_2 = 3n_3 + 2$$

$$q_2 = 3k_3 + 1$$

By our "contradiction hypothesis," $p_2 = 3n_3 + 2$ is not prime. Hence, by the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, $p_2 = 3n_3 + 2$ must have two factors $p_2, q_2 > 1$.

Observe that they must be of the form:

$$p_3 = 3n_4 + 2$$

 $q_3 = 3k_4 + 1$

Proceeding inductively, we obtain an infinite, strictly decreasing sequence of *nat-ural numbers:*

$$3n_1+2, 3n_2+2, 3n_3+2, \ldots$$

This contradicts the Well Ordering Principle which states that every non-empty set of non-negative integers has a least element.

Since the assumption that there exists a natural number 3n + 2 that doesn't have a prime factor of the same form leads to a contradiction, It must be false.

Hence, each integer of the form 3n + 2 has a prime factor of this form.

(c) The only prime of the form $n^3 - 1$ is 7. **Proof.** Observe: $n^3 - 1 = (n - 1)(n^2 + n + 1)$. Note that n = 1 yields $n^3 - 1 = 0$ (not prime). Also, n = 2 yields $n^3 - 1 = 7$ (prime). For n > 2, we have $n^3 - 1 = \underbrace{(n - 1)(n^2 + n + 1)}_{\geq 2}$, and is therefore composite.

- (d) The only prime p for which 3p + 1 is a perfect square is p = 5. **Proof.** Suppose that 3p+1 is a perfect square. Then $3p+1 = k^2$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\Rightarrow 3p = k^2 - 1$
 - $\Rightarrow 3p = (k+1)(k-1)$

Since the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic tells us that the factorization of a number into prime factors is unique, it must be the case that

$$k - 1 = 3$$
 and $(k + 1) = p$

i.e., k - 1 = 3 and (k + 1) = 5. Thus, k = 4, and $3p + 1 = k^2 = 16$. Therefore, p = 5.

(e) The only prime of the form $n^2 - 4$ is 5.

Proof. Suppose that $n^2 - 4$ is prime. Note that $n \ge 3$, otherwise $n^2 - 4$ is not a natural number. Note also, that for n = 3, $n^2 - 4 = 5$.

Thus, the prime number 5 is of the form $n^2 - 4$.

Finally, note that if $n \ge 4$, $n^2 - 4 = \underbrace{(n+2)(n-2)}_{\ge 6}$ and is therefore composite.

Thus, the only prime of the form $n^2 - 4$ is 5.

(This proof was given to me by Olivia Dabbert. Her proof is (thankfully) much simpler than mine!)

4. If $p \ge 5$ is a prime number, Show that $p^2 + 2$ is composite.

Proof. Since *p* is prime and $p \ge 5$, *p* must be odd. i.e., p = 6k + 1 or p = 6k + 5Thus, either $p^2 + 2 = (6k + 1)^2 + 2 = 36k^2 + 12k + 3 = 3(12k^2 + 4k + 1)$, or $p^2 + 2 = (6k + 5)^2 + 2 = 36k^2 + 60k + 27 = 3(12k^2 + 20k + 9)$. Either way, $p^2 + 2$ is composite. ■

5. ~

(a) Given that p is prime and $p|a^n$, prove that $p^n|a^n$.

Proof. By Corollary 1 (page 41), If p is a prime and $p|(a_1a_2...a_n)$, then $p|a_k$ for some k for $1 \le k \le n$. Thus, given that $p|a^n$, if we let $a_k = a$ for $1 \le k \le n$, we have $p|(a_1a_2...a_n)$ and the corollary applies. Therefore, $p|a_k$ (i.e., p|a).

$$\Rightarrow a = pm$$
 for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\Rightarrow a^n = (pm)^n = p^n m^n.$$

i.e.,
$$a^n = p^n m^n \Rightarrow p^n | a^n$$
.

(b) If gcd(a, b) = p, a prime, what are the possible values of $gcd(a^2, b^2)$, $gcd(a^2, b)$, $gcd(a^3, b^2)$?

 $\boxed{\gcd\left(a^2,b^2\right)}$

If gcd(a, b) = p, then either a or b has exactly one factor of p. (Otherwise, $p^2|a$ and $p^2|b$, and $gcd(a, b) \ge p^2$.)

Without loss of generality, let's say that a has one factor of p.

By the Fundamental theorem of arithmetic, a and b can be factored into primes: $a = p \cdot p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ and $b = p^i \cdot q_1^{s_1} q_2^{s_2} \dots q_j^{s_j}$, for $i \ge 1$, where $p_m \ne q_n$ for $1 \le m \le k$ and $1 \le n \le j$.

 $\Rightarrow a^{2} = p^{2} \cdot p_{1}^{2r_{1}} p_{2}^{2r_{2}} \dots p_{k}^{2r_{k}} \text{ and } b^{2} = p^{2i} \cdot q_{1}^{2s_{1}} q_{2}^{2s_{2}} \dots q_{j}^{2s_{j}}$

Observe that p is still the only prime factor that a and b have in common, but now, both a and b have exactly a factor of p^2 in common.

i.e., $gcd(a^2, b^2) = p^2$.

$\gcd(a^2,b)$

Again, either a or b has exactly one factor of p. If a has exactly one factor of p, then $a = p \cdot p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ and $b = p^i \cdot q_1^{s_1} q_2^{s_2} \dots q_j^{s_j}$, for $i \ge 1$, where $p_m \neq q_n$ for $1 \le m \le k$ and $1 \le n \le j$.

Thus $a^2 = p^2 \cdot p_1^{2r_1} p_2^{2r_2} \dots p_k^{2r_k}$ and therefore, a^2 has exactly two factors of p, and b has at least one factor of p.

Thus, $gcd(a^2, b) = p$ if b has exactly one factor of p, and $gcd(a^2, b) = p^2$ if b has more than one factor of p.

On the other hand, if b has exactly one factor of p, then $a = p^i \cdot p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ and $b = p \cdot q_1^{s_1} q_2^{s_2} \dots q_j^{s_j}$, for $i \ge 1$, where $p_m \ne q_n$ for $1 \le m \le k$ and $1 \le n \le j$.

Thus, $a^2 = p^{2i} \cdot p_1^{2r_1} p_2^{2r_2} \dots p_k^{2r_k}$, and a^2 and b have one factor of p in common. In this case, gcd $(a^2, b) = p$.

All cases considered:

 $gcd(a^2, b) = p^2$ when b has at least two factors of p. $gcd(a^2, b) = p$ when b has exactly one factor of p. $\gcd(a^3,b^2)$

Again, either a or b has exactly one factor of p. If a has exactly one factor of p, then $a = p \cdot p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ and $b = p^i \cdot q_1^{s_1} q_2^{s_2} \dots q_j^{s_j}$ where $p_m \neq q_n$ for $1 \leq m \leq k$ and $1 \leq n \leq j$. Thus $a^3 = p^3 \cdot p_1^{3r_1} p_2^{3r_2} \dots p_k^{3r_k}$ and $b^2 = p^{2i} \cdot q_1^{2s_1} q_2^{2s_2} \dots q_j^{2s_j}$, for $i \geq 1$. Therefore, a^3 has exactly three factors of p, and b^2 has at least two factors of p. In this case, $gcd(a^3, b^2) = p^2$ if b has exactly one factor of p. $gcd(a^3, b^2) = p^3$ if b has more than one factor of p, then $a = p^i \cdot p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \dots p_k^{r_k}$ and $b = p \cdot q_1^{s_1} q_2^{s_2} \dots q_j^{s_j}$, for $i \geq 1$, where $p_m \neq q_n$ for $1 \leq m \leq k$ and $1 \leq n \leq j$. Thus, $a^3 = p^{3i} \cdot p_1^{3r_1} p_2^{3r_2} \dots p_k^{3r_k}$, and $b^2 = p^2 \cdot q_1^{2s_1} q_2^{2s_2} \dots q_j^{2s_j}$, and a^3 and b^2 have two factors of p in common. In this case, $gcd(a^3, b^2) = p^2$.

All cases considered:

i.e., $gcd(a^3, b^2) = p^3$ when b has more than one factor of p. $gcd(a^3, b^2) = p^2$ when b has exactly one factor of p.

- 6. Establish each of the following statements:
 - (a) Every integer of the form $n^4 + 4$, with n > 1 is composite. **Proof.** Observe: $n^4 + 4 = (n^2 - 2n + 2)(n^2 + 2n + 2)$ If n = 1, then $\underbrace{(n^2 - 2n + 2)(n^2 + 2n + 2)}_{=1} = 1 \cdot 5$ which is prime. For n > 1 both terms are greater than 1 and hence $n^4 + 4 = (n^2 - 2n + 2)(n^2 + 2n + 2)$

For n > 1, both terms are greater than 1, and hence, $n^4+4 = (n^2 - 2n + 2)(n^2 + 2n + 2)$ is composite.

(b) If n > 4 is composite, then n divides (n - 1)!**Proof.** Suppose that n > 4 is composite. Then n has a prime factor $p \leq \sqrt{n}$. **Case 1:** $n = p^2$ In this case, we must show that (n-1)! contains two distinct factors of p. Since $p = \sqrt{n} < n - 1$, p is one of the factors of (n - 1)!For the other factor of p, we claim that $2p \leq n-1$, and hence, 2p is a factor of (n-1)!To see this, observe: n > 4 $\Rightarrow p = \sqrt{n} > 2$ i.e., p > 2 $\Rightarrow p \cdot p > 2 \cdot p$ i.e., $p^2 > 2p$ But $n = p^2$ Hence, $n > 2p \Rightarrow n - 1 \ge 2p$. Case 2: n = pb with $p \neq b$ Again $p < \sqrt{n} < n - 1$, so p is a factor of (n - 1)!We must show that b < n - 1, and hence, b is a factor of (n - 1)!Since $p \ge 2$, we have $n = pb \ge 2b$ i.e., $n \ge 2b \Rightarrow \frac{n}{2} \ge b$ Observe: $n-1 > \frac{n}{2}$ for n > 2Hence, $n-1 > \frac{n}{2} \ge b$. i.e., n - 1 > b. Therefore, b is a factor of (n-1)!(c) Any integer of the form $8^n + 1$ where $n \ge 1$, is composite. **Proof.** Observe: $8^n + 1 = (2^3)^n + 1 = (2^n)^3 + 1^3 = (2^n + 1) ((2^n)^2 - 2^n + 1)$ which is composite. (d) Each integer n > 11 can be written as the sum of two composite numbers. **Proof. Case 1:** (n is even)

Since n is even, n = 2k for some $k \ge 6$. Hence, n = 2(k - 3) + 6 (The sum of two composites) **Case 2:** (n is odd) Since n is odd, n = 2k + 1 for some $k \ge 5$. Hence, n = 2(k - 4) + 9 (The sum of two composites) 7. Find all the prime numbers that divide 50!

Observe: $50! = 50 \cdot 49 \cdot 48 \cdot \ldots \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$

By the corollary to Theorem 3.1, any prime p that divides this product must divide one of these factors. Hence, 50! contains no prime factor greater than 50.

Furthermore, every prime factor less than 50 appears explicitly in the factorization $50! = 50 \cdot 49 \cdot 48 \cdot \ldots \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1.$

Hence the prime factors of 50! are exactly the prime numbers less than 50.

 $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47\}$

8. If $p \ge q \ge 5$, and p and q are both prime, prove that $24|(p^2 - q^2)$.

Proof. Let the hypotheses be given. (i.e., Suppose that $p \ge q \ge 5$, and p and q are both prime.)

Out proof hinges on four important observations.

Observation #1: p and q have the form 2k + 1, AND p and q can only have the forms 3k + 1 or 3k + 2.

Since p and q are both prime and both greater than or equal to 5, neither p nor q is even and neither p nor q is a multiple of 3.

Thus, p and q must have the form 2k + 1 (with reference to 2 as a divisor),

AND p and q can only have the forms 3k+1 or 3k+2 (with reference to 3 as a divisor).

Observation #2: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n^2 + n$ is even.

The reason for this is simple. Either n is even or it is odd.

If n is even, then n = 2k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Rightarrow n^2 + n = n \left(n + 1 \right) = 2k \left(n + 1 \right).$$

(i.e.,
$$2|(n^2 + n))$$

If n is odd, then n + 1 is even, and n + 1 = 2k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Rightarrow n^{2} + n = n (n + 1) = n (2k) = 2 (nk)$$

(i.e., 2| (n² + n))

Observation #3: $8|(p^2 - q^2)$

By Observation #1, p = 2j + 1 and q = 2k + 1 for some $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus,
$$(p^2 - q^2) = (2j + 1)^2 - (2k + 1)^2 = (4j^2 + 4j + 1) - (4k^2 + 4k + 1)$$

$$=4\left[\underbrace{\left(j^{2}+j\right)}_{\text{even}}-\underbrace{\left(k^{2}+k\right)}_{\text{even}}\right]=4\left(2m\right)=8m \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

i.e., $(p^2 - q^2) = 8m$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, $8|(p^2-q^2)|$

Observation #4: $3|(p^2 - q^2)$

By Observation #1, p and q can only have the forms 3k + 1 or 3k + 2 for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If p = 3j + 1 and q = 3k + 1, then $p^2 - q^2 = (3j + 1)^2 - (3k + 1)^2 = (9j^2 + 6j + 1) - (9k^2 + 6k + 1)$ $= [(9j^2 + 6j) - (9k^2 + 6k)] = 3[(3j^2 + 2j) - (3k^2 + 2k)] = 3m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ If p = 3j + 2 and q = 3k + 1, then $p^2 - q^2 = (3j + 2)^2 - (3k + 1)^2 = (9j^2 + 12j + 4) - (9k^2 + 6k + 1)$ $= [(9j^2 + 12j + 3) - (9k^2 + 6k)] = 3[(3j^2 + 4j + 1) - (3k^2 + 2k)]$ = 3m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (p = 3j + 1 and q = 3k + 2 is similar to the previous case.) If p = 3j + 2 and q = 3k + 2, then $p^2 - q^2 = (3j + 2)^2 - (3k + 2)^2 = (9j^2 + 12j + 4) - (9k^2 + 12k + 4)$ $= [(9j^2 + 12j) - (9k^2 + 12k)] = 3[(3j^2 + 4j) - (3k^2 + 4k)]$

= 3m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$

Thus, in each case, $3|(p^2 - q^2)$ (end of Observation #4)

We have established that $8|(p^2 - q^2)$ and $3|(p^2 - q^2)$.

Since 3 and 8 are relatively prime, their product 24 also divides $(p^2 - q^2)$, by Corollary 2, page 23.

10. Prove: If $p \neq 5$ is an odd prime number, then either $p^2 - 1$ or $p^2 + 1$ is divisible by 10 **Proof.** Let the hypothesis be given. (i.e., Suppose that $p \neq 5$ is an odd prime number.) Our strategy will be to show that $2|(p^2 - 1)$ and $2|(p^2 + 1)$, and that either: $5|(p^2 - 1)$, in which case $(2 \cdot 5)|(p^2 - 1)$, by Euclid's Lemma, or

 $5|\left(p^2+1\right),$ in which case $\left(2\cdot5\right)|\left(p^2+1\right),$ by Euclid's Lemma.

$$2|(p^2-1)$$
 and $2|(p^2+1)$

To show that $2|(p^2-1)$ and $2|(p^2+1)$, observe that since p is an odd prime, p = 2k+1, for some natural number k.

$$\Rightarrow p^{2} = (2k+1)^{2} = 4k^{2} + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^{2} + 2k) + 1$$

i.e., $p^{2} = 2(2k^{2} + 2k) + 1$
Hence, $p^{2} - 1 = [2(2k^{2} + 2k) + 1] - 1 = 2(2k^{2} + 2k)$
i.e., $2|(p^{2} - 1)$
Similarly, $p^{2} + 1 = [2(2k^{2} + 2k) + 1] + 1 = 2(2k^{2} + 2k) + 2 = 2(2k^{2} + 2k + 1)$
i.e., $2|(p^{2} + 1)$

$$5|(p^2-1) \text{ or } 5|(p^2+1)$$

Note that since $p \neq 5$ and p is a prime number, $5 \nmid p$. Otherwise, p would not be prime. Thus, by the Division Algorithm, p must have one of the following four forms:

p = 5n + 1, in which case, $p^2 = (5n + 1)^2 = 25n^2 + 10n + 1 = 5(5n^2 + 2n) + 1 = 5k + 1$ p = 5n + 2, in which case, $p^2 = (5n + 2)^2 = 25n^2 + 20n + 4 = 5(5n^2 + 4n) + 4 = 5k + 4$ = (5k + 4) + 1 - 1 = (5k + 5) - 1 = 5(k + 1) - 1

p = 5n+3, in which case, $p^2 = (5n+3)^2 = 25n^2+30n+9 = 5(5n^2+6n+1)+4 = 5k+4$ = (5k+4)+1-1 = (5k+5)-1 = 5(k+1)-1

p = 5n + 4, in which case, $p^2 = (5n + 4)^2 = 25n^2 + 40n + 16 = 5(5n^2 + 8n + 3) + 1 = 5k + 1$

i.e., p^2 must either have the form: $p^2 = 5k + 1$ or $p^2 = 5(k + 1) - 1$

In the case in which $p^2 = 5k + 1$, $p^2 - 1 = 5k$. (i.e., $5|(p^2 - 1))$

In the case in which $p^2 = 5(k+1) - 1$, $p^2 + 1 = 5(k+1)$. (i.e., $5|(p^2+1))$

This exhausts all cases. In all cases, either $5|(p^2-1)$ or $5|(p^2+1)$

Remark: The proof above is **my** proof. A number of my students submitted a different proof - similar, but with minor variations. I like their proof better. What do you think?

Alternate Proof: Submitted by Chelsey Adamson, Jackson Baker, Madison Butler, Bayleigh Edberg, Emmaline Hughes, Clayton Lang, Meagan Long, Elizabeth Rowe, Lauren Veazey,

Proof. Let the hypothesis be given. (i.e., Suppose that $p \neq 5$ is an odd prime number.)

Applying the Division Algorithm to p, using d = 10 as the divisor, there are 4 possibilities:

p = 10q + 1; p = 10q + 3; p = 10q + 7; p = 10q + 9.

$$p = 10q + 1$$

In this case, $p^2 = (10q + 1)^2 = 100q^2 + 20q + 1$ $p^2 - 1 = (100q^2 + 20q + 1) - 1 = 100q^2 + 20q = 10 (10q^2 + 2q)$ i.e., $p^2 - 1 = 10 (10q^2 + 2q)$, and consequently, $10 | (p^2 - 1)$

$$p = 10q + 3$$

In this case, $p^2 = (10q+3)^2 = 100q^2 + 60q + 9$ $p^2 + 1 = (100q^2 + 60q + 9) + 1 = 100q^2 + 60q + 10 = 10(10q^2 + 6q + 1)$ i.e., $p^2 + 1 = 10(10q^2 + 6q + 1)$, and consequently, $10|(p^2 + 1)$

$$p = 10q + 7$$

In this case, $p^2 = (10q + 7)^2 = 100q^2 + 140q + 49$ $p^2 + 1 = (100q^2 + 140q + 49) + 1 = 100q^2 + 140q + 50 = 10 (10q^2 + 14q + 5)$ i.e., $p^2 + 1 = 10 (10q^2 + 14q + 5)$, and consequently, $10|(p^2 + 1)$

$$p = 10q + 9$$

In this case, $p^2 = (10q + 9)^2 = 100q^2 + 180q + 81$ $p^2 - 1 = (100q^2 + 180q + 81) - 1 = 100q^2 + 180q + 80 = 10(10q^2 + 18q + 8)$ i.e., $p^2 - 1 = 10(0q^2 + 18q + 8)$, and consequently, $10|(p^2 - 1)$

This exhausts all cases, and in each case either $10|(p^2-1)$ or $10|(p^2+1)$

9. Prove: A positive integer a > 1 is a perfect square if and only if, in the canonical form of a, all of the exponents of the primes are even integers.

Proof. Let a > 1 be a positive integer.

 $(a \text{ is a perfect square.}) \Rightarrow (In the canonical form of a, all of the exponents of the primes are even integers.)$

Suppose that a > 1 is a perfect square.

Then $a = b^2$, for some natural number b > 1

By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, $b = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$, for primes $p_1 < p_1 < \ldots < p_r$.

$$a = b^{2} = \left(p_{1}^{k_{1}} p_{2}^{k_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{k_{r}}\right)^{2} = p_{1}^{2k_{1}} p_{2}^{2k_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{2k_{r}}$$

i.e., $a = p_{1}^{2k_{1}} p_{2}^{2k_{2}} \cdots p_{r}^{2k_{r}}$.

Note that, in the canonical form of a, all of the exponents of the primes are even integers.

(In the canonical form of a, all of the exponents of the primes are even integers.) \Rightarrow (a is a perfect square.)

Suppose that a > 1 and that, in the canonical form of a, all of the exponents of the primes are even integers.

Then
$$a = p_1^{2k_1} p_2^{2k_2} \cdots p_r^{2k_r}$$
, for primes $p_1 < p_1 < \ldots < p_r$.
 $\Rightarrow a = p_1^{2k_1} p_2^{2k_2} \cdots p_r^{2k_r} = \left(p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_r^{k_r} \right)^2$

i.e., a is a perfect square.