

Proofs Involving Functions 2a (Countability)

FALL 2009

Pat Rossi

Name _____

1. $\sqrt{2}$ is an irrational number.

Proof. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then there exist integers m and n , with $n \neq 0$, such that $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that m and n are relatively prime.*

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2n^2 = m^2$$

$$\Rightarrow m^2 \text{ is even}$$

$$\Rightarrow m \text{ is even.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ such that } m = 2k.$$

$$\text{Thus, } 2n^2 = m^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2$$

$$\text{i.e., } 2n^2 = 4k^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n^2 = 2k^2$$

$$\Rightarrow n^2 \text{ is even}$$

$$\Rightarrow n \text{ is even}$$

i.e., m and n are both even.

This contradicts the assumption that m and n are relatively prime.

Since the assumption that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational lead us to this contradiction, $\sqrt{2}$ must be irrational. ■

*If m and n are not relatively prime, then let d be the greatest common divisor of m and n . There exist relatively prime integers m_1 and n_1 such that $m = dm_1$ and $n = dn_1$. Thus we can write $\sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n} = \frac{dm_1}{dn_1} = \frac{m_1}{n_1}$, and $\sqrt{2}$ is written as the quotient of relatively prime integers.

2. The set of positive rational numbers \mathbf{Q}^+ is denumerable.

Consider the table of ordered pairs below:

(1, 1)	→	(1, 2)		(1, 3)	→	(1, 4)		(1, 5)	→	...
	↙		↗		↙		↗			
(2, 1)		(2, 2)		(2, 3)		(2, 4)		(2, 5)		...
↓	↗		↙		↗					
(3, 1)		(3, 2)		(3, 3)		(3, 4)		(3, 5)		...
	↙		↗							
(4, 1)		(4, 2)		(4, 3)		(4, 4)		(4, 5)		...
↓	↗									
(5, 1)		(5, 2)		(5, 3)		(5, 4)		(5, 5)		...
⋮		⋮		⋮		⋮		⋮		

If we consider the ordered pair (i, j) in the i^{th} row and j^{th} column to represent the quotient of integers $\frac{i}{j}$, then every positive rational number appears in the table at least once. (e.g., the rational number $\frac{m}{n}$ appears in the m^{th} row and n^{th} column.)

Furthermore, the arrows in the table induce an exhaustive **ordering** of the positive rational numbers as follows:

$$1, \frac{1}{2}, 2, 3, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, 4, 5, \frac{1}{5}, \dots$$

(Note that we have discarded repetitions of rationals if they occur. e.g., we have discarded $(2, 2)$ because it is equivalent to $(1, 1)$ which is already on our list.)

Note also that since the positive rationals are **ordered**, they are in a one to one correspondence with the natural numbers.

Hence, the positive rational numbers are denumerable. ■

3. The set of negative rational numbers \mathbf{Q}^- is denumerable.

Proof. The function $f : \mathbf{Q}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^-$ given by $f\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = -\frac{m}{n}$ is clearly one to one and onto.

For if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$,

Then $-x_1 = -x_2$

$\Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$, thus f is one to one.

Also, given $y \in \mathbf{Q}^-$, we can choose $x \in \mathbf{Q}^+$, given by $x = -y$.

This yields $f(x) = -x = -(-y) = y$.

Thus, f is onto. ■

4. The union of a denumerable set and a finite set is denumerable (you can assume that the two sets are disjoint).

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$.

Then A is finite and B is denumerable.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Observe:} & \mathbf{N} & = \{ 1, 2, 3, \dots, k, k+1, k+2, k+3, \dots \} \\ & f \downarrow & \quad \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \quad \downarrow \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ & (A \cup B) & = \{ a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k \quad b_1, \quad b_2, \quad b_3, \dots \} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Define } f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow (A \cup B) \text{ by } f(n) = \begin{cases} a_n & \text{if } n \leq k \\ b_{n-k} & \text{if } n > k \end{cases}$$

Clearly from the diagram above, f is one to one and onto. Hence, $(A \cup B)$ is denumerable. ■

5. The union of two (disjoint) denumerable sets is denumerable.

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$

Observe:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{N} & = & \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots \} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\ (A \cup B) & = & \{ a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, a_3, b_3, \dots \} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Define } f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow (A \cup B) \text{ by } f(n) = \begin{cases} a_{\frac{n+1}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ b_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Clearly from the diagram above, f is one to one and onto. Hence, $(A \cup B)$ is denumerable. ■

6. The set of rational numbers is denumerable (countable).

Proof. $\mathbf{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}$ is the union of a denumerable set and a finite set, hence it is denumerable.

The entire set of rationals can be expressed as $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{Q}^+ \cup \{0\}) \cup \mathbf{Q}^-$, which is the union of two denumerable sets, hence denumerable. ■

7. The set of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ is uncountable (non-denumerable).

Proof. (By contradiction) Suppose, for the sake of deriving a contradiction, that the set of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ is denumerable.

Then the entire set of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ can be ordered (i.e., put into a one to one correspondence with the natural numbers).

Consider such an exhaustive ordering (or list):

$$x_1 = 0.x_{11}x_{12}x_{13} \dots$$

$$x_2 = 0.x_{21}x_{22}x_{23} \dots$$

$$x_3 = 0.x_{31}x_{32}x_{33} \dots$$

\vdots

$$x_n = 0.x_{n1}x_{n2}x_{n3} \dots x_{nn} \dots$$

\vdots

Here, x_{ij} is the j^{th} decimal digit of x_i .

Also, if x_i can be written in terminating and non-terminating form (e.g., 0.5 can be written as 0.499999...), then we choose the non-terminating form.

(Note that if we follow this convention, that $0 = 0.00000\dots$ and $1 = 0.99999\dots$)

Define $y \in [0, 1]$ as follows:

$y = 0.y_1y_2y_3 \dots y_n \dots$ where y_i is the i^{th} decimal digit of y and y_i is given by

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } x_{ii} \neq 5 \\ 6 & \text{if } x_{ii} = 5 \end{cases}$$

Again, note that $0 < y < 1$.

Our hypothesis has led us to conclude that the list above is an *exhaustive* list of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$. Hence, y must be on the list somewhere.

Observe, however, that for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ $y \neq x_j$

(If y were equal to x_j for some $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, then they would necessarily have the same digit at the j^{th} decimal place. However, we have defined y in such a way that this can't happen.)

Hence, y is not on the list above.

This contradicts our assumption that the entire set of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ is denumerable and can, therefore, be placed in an ordered list.

Since the assumption that the entire set of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ is denumerable leads to a contradiction, the assumption must be false.

Hence, the entire set of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ is non-denumerable (uncountable). ■